

Gut Endothelial Leakage of Endotoxin May Be the Source of Vascular Inflammation and Injury in CKD. How Can This Be Targeted?



Related Articles, p. 13 and p. 28

THE RISK OF cardiovascular events increases as glomerular filtration rate declines increasing as much as 35 fold in patients with Stage 5 chronic kidney disease (CKD).¹ Despite the vast increase in risk in this population, biomarkers associated with risk in the general population, such as cholesterol level contribute little risk,² while the primary biomarkers associated with increased risk are those linked to inflammation.^{3,4} One open question is identifying the causal pathway between inflammatory biomarkers, which themselves may simply provide evidence of inflammation and vascular injury. The risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes is significantly increased even among individuals with normal renal function after an infectious event.⁵ Acute infectious events are followed by changes in vascular structure, specifically an increase in carotid intima-media thickening, even among children after an infection⁶ suggesting that inflammation, rather than being a consequence of vascular injury, is on the causal pathway. Inflammation, as measured by C-reactive protein (CRP), by other acute phase proteins or by cytokine levels, is increased well above the general population,⁷ even in the absence of obvious prior infectious event among patients with CKD.⁸ CRP has been previously reported to be increased in patients with kidney transplants and to be associated with cardiovascular outcomes.⁹ The question addressed in this issue of the Journal by Chan et al¹⁰ is identification of endotoxin absorbed through the gut mucosa as 1 potential sources of inflammation in this population as well as linking this to vascular injury and cardiovascular events by its association with sE-selectin, a marker of endothelial cell activation. Ideally, this would suggest that alteration in gut wall permeability to endotoxin or its precursor, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) would provide a therapeutic target, presuming a cause and effect relationship between the biomarkers studies and the causal pathway to

injury. Of note, while CRP is indeed highly associated with vascular risk, this acute phase protein may well not be on the causal pathway, but instead reflect an inflammatory response¹¹ in which cytokines or the inciting inflammatory event, such as LPS or endotoxin causes vascular injury. Chan et al.¹⁰ identify a number of factors, including circulating endotoxin as well as factors associated with body composition and nutrition that were associated both with CRP as well as the endothelial marker sE-selectin, a biomarker associated with endothelial health and vascular injury in other populations.¹² Adiposity, another source of pro-inflammatory cytokines¹³ was also associated with sE-selectin, as was 25 OH vitamin D, noted to be associated both with LPS level and the composition of gut bacterial composition.¹⁴ One important target identified¹⁰ that could possibly be altered is the source of endotoxin—a lipid of bacterial origin that itself induces endothelial damage.¹⁵ Endotoxin was identified both as a close correlate to CRP, but also to sE-selectin levels, a marker of endothelial injury, and adverse outcome. Alteration in gut wall permeability is an obvious target and the observation that increased fructose intake and decreased fibrin intake were also associated with inflammation, suggest that affecting gut wall permeability by dietary modification or some other process may be effective in reducing cardiovascular events by reducing exposure to endotoxins. Since changes in dietary patterns and changes within individuals or populations have been associated with changes in the gut microbiome,^{16,17} dietary changes designed to effect a change in the microbiome could prove effective in altering gut permeability to toxins. CKD results in profound changes in the composition of the gut microbiome and in disruption of the intestinal epithelial barrier structure and function.^{18,19} Two strategies may be used to accomplish change in the microbiome. One is a change in diet and the other is the administration of probiotic bacteria^{20,21} although affecting a change in gut flora by administration of probiotics may be challenging.²¹ The recommended diet for patients with CKD is low in potassium, phosphorous, and as a consequence, low in plant fiber and symbiotic organisms altering the normal gut microbiome resulting in higher number of pathogens like clostridia, enterobacteria, pseudomonas, and a lower number of beneficial microbes like lactobacilli and bifidobacteria.^{19,22–24} The alteration in the gut microbiome results in the production of uremic toxins like advanced glycation products, phenols, and indoles which are then converted to indole-3 acetic acid,

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

Address correspondence to George A. Kaysen, MD, PhD, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Davis, CA 95616. E-mail: gakaysen@ucdavis.edu

© 2017 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. All rights reserved.
1051-2276/\$36.00

<https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2017.11.001>

indoxyl sulfate (IS), and p-cresyl sulfates, glucuronides,^{25,26} which are associated with the levels of inflammatory biomarkers.²⁷ This leads to generation and absorption trimethylamine (TMA), which is converted to potentially harmful TMA oxide (TMAO) by the liver. While TMAO is associated with vascular injury in non-CKD patient populations²⁸ and while levels are greatly elevated among dialysis patients, there does not appear to be an association between TMAO levels and cardiovascular outcome or inflammation in dialysis patients,²⁹ similar to what is observed for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels.^{2,30} If the pathway to vascular injury is mediated by endotoxin directly and can be altered by changing gut wall permeability, alteration in gut flora may provide a therapeutic target.

Also in this issue of the Journal, Borges et al²⁶ used probiotic supplementation to alter the gut flora in dialysis patients. They found that uremic toxins, inflammatory markers, and gut profile were not altered. In addition, serum potassium, urea, and IS were increased. This was a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial enrolling 46 hemodialysis patients of whom 23 received probiotics (*Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, and *Bifidobacteria longum*) daily for 3 months and 23 received placebo. No change was observed in gut microbiota profile after probiotic or placebo supplementation. Studies in the past have shown benefits of probiotics in CKD population,^{31,32} and at same time, some studies have shown negative results.³³⁻³⁵ One explanation for negative results may be that high urea levels in the colon lumen promote biochemical alterations in the colonic environment.^{33,34,36} These alterations may alter enzymatic activity of bacteria including probiotics providing a greater urea hydrolysis rate and large amounts of ammonia. Part of the ammonia may be absorbed reaching the intrahepatic portal circulation and enter the urea cycle, thereby increasing ureagenesis. In addition, ammonia may be converted to ammonium hydroxide, increasing intestinal permeability. Damage to the intestinal barrier could affect the gastrointestinal excretion of potassium and increase membrane permeability to the diffusion of substances (such as IS) from the intestinal lumen into the blood. This may result in worsening of systemic inflammation. In the study of Borget et al., IS levels were increased but other toxins (p-cresyl sulfate and indole-3 acetic acid) showed no significant change.

Prebiotics may contribute to modulation of the gut microbiota and to improve integrity of intestinal epithelial barrier thus promoting more favorable scenario for the introduction of probiotic microorganisms.³⁷ Besides prebiotics, other strategies like physical activity and oral adsorbents may improve the gut imbalance in CKD. Alteration of the gut bacterial composition may require more intensive intervention than was applied or a change

in diet.^{21,38,39} However, the study by Borges et al.²⁶ has a small sample size, inflammatory markers could be influenced by other factors, and food intake was not monitored. A larger study is needed before this approach can be excluded. We need to remember that complexities of the gut microbiota in CKD patients should be taken into the account when probiotics are given to these patients to re-establish the gut microbiota. There are 3 theoretical safety concerns with use of probiotics: (1) occurrence of bacteremia, sepsis, or endocarditis; (2) toxic physiological or metabolic effects on the gastrointestinal tract⁴⁰⁻⁴² and; (3) transfer of antibiotic resistance in the gastrointestinal flora from commensal or probiotic bacteria to other bacteria or potential pathogens.^{41,43} There is theoretical risk of adverse metabolic effects from manipulation of the microbiota with the use of probiotics, even if such manipulation is temporary. The use of probiotics in clinical trials should be accompanied by data safety monitoring and knowledge of antimicrobial susceptibilities of the organism used. Other probiotic organisms, such as *Enterococcus*, *Bacillus*, and other spore-forming bacteria, streptococci, are not regarded as safe and have been used as probiotics. The most physiological path to alter the gut microbiota is a change in diet, which may be challenging to implement in this population. Nevertheless, identification of gut bacteria as the source of endotoxin, a likely source of endothelial injury, provides a convenient target for reducing the greatly increased cardiovascular risk in kidney transplant and all CKD patients. Establishing a safe, effective, and convenient therapeutic pathway to achieving this end requires further investigation.

Niti Madan, MD*

George A. Kaysen, MD, PhD*†

*Division of Nephrology

Department of Medicine

University of California Davis School of Medicine

Davis, California

†Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine

University of California Davis School of Medicine

Davis, California

References

1. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu CY. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351:1296-1305. Erratum in: *N Engl J Med*. 2008;18(4):4.
2. Lowrie EG, Lew NL. Death risk in hemodialysis patients: the predictive value of commonly measured variables and an evaluation of death rate differences between facilities. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 1990;15:458-482.
3. Johansen KL, Young B, Kaysen GA, Chertow GM. Association of body size with outcomes among patients beginning dialysis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;80:324-332.

4. Yeun JY, Levine RA, Mantadilok V, Kaysen GA. C-Reactive protein predicts all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2000;35:469-476.
5. Smeeth L, Thomas SL, Hall AJ, Hubbard R, Farrington P, Vallance P. Risk of myocardial infarction and stroke after acute infection or vaccination. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:2611-2618.
6. Liuba P, Persson J, Luoma J, Ylä-Herttua S, Pesonen E. Acute infections in children are accompanied by oxidative modification of LDL and decrease of HDL cholesterol, and are followed by thickening of carotid intima-media. *Eur Heart J.* 2003;24:515-521.
7. Honda H, Qureshi AR, Heimbürger O, et al. Serum albumin, C-reactive protein, interleukin 6, and fetuin A as predictors of malnutrition, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in patients with ESRD. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2006;47:139-148.
8. Kimmel PL, Phillips TM, Simmens SJ, et al. Immunologic function and survival in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int.* 1998;54:236-244.
9. Ocak N, Dirican M, Ersoy A, Sarandol E. Adiponectin, leptin, nitric oxide, and C-reactive protein levels in kidney transplant recipients: comparison with the hemodialysis and chronic renal failure. *Ren Fail.* 2016;38:1639-1646.
10. Chan W, Bosch JA, Phillips AC, Chin SH. The associations of endotoxemia with systemic inflammation, endothelial activation, and cardiovascular outcome in kidney transplantation. *J Ren Nutr.* 2017 (final citation to be populated in the same issue as this editorial).
11. Panichi V, Maggiore U, Taccola D, et al. Interleukin-6 is a stronger predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality than C-reactive protein in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2004;19:1154-1160.
12. Manco M, Nobili V, Alisi A, Panera N, Handberg A. Arterial stiffness, thickness and association to suitable novel markers of risk at the origin of cardiovascular disease in obese children. *Int J Med Sci.* 2017;14:711-720.
13. Delgado C, Chertow GM, Kaysen GA, et al. Associations of body mass index and body fat with markers of inflammation and nutrition among patients receiving hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2017;70:817-825.
14. Luthold RV, Fernandes GR, Franco-de-Moraes AC, Folchetti LG, Ferreira SR. Gut microbiota interactions with the immunomodulatory role of vitamin D in normal individuals. *Metabolism.* 2017;69:76-86.
15. Hauser AB, Stinghen AE, Goncalves SM, Bucharles S, Pecoits-Filho R. A gut feeling on endotoxemia: causes and consequences in chronic kidney disease. *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2011;118:16.
16. Smits SA, Leach J, Sonnenburg ED, et al. Seasonal cycling in the gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers of Tanzania. *Science.* 2017;357:802-806.
17. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature.* 2014;505:559-563.
18. Rossi M, Johnson DW, Campbell KL. The kidney-gut axis: implications for nutrition care. *J Ren Nutr.* 2015;25:399-403.
19. Vaziri ND, Wong J, Pahl M, et al. Chronic kidney disease alters intestinal microbial flora. *Kidney Int.* 2013;83:308-315.
20. Takayama F, Taki K, Niwa T. Bifidobacterium in gastro-resistant seamless capsule reduces serum levels of indoxyl sulfate in patients on hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2003;41(3 Suppl 1):S142-S145.
21. El Hage R, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Van de Wiele T. Emerging trends in "Smart probiotics": functional consideration for the development of novel health and industrial applications. *Front Microbiol.* 2017;8:1889.
22. Suarez JE. [Autochthonous microbiota, probiotics and prebiotics]. *Nutr Hosp.* 2015;31(Suppl 1):3-9.
23. Chassard C, Lacroix C. Carbohydrates and the human gut microbiota. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 2013;16:453-460.
24. Cigarran Guldris S, Gonzalez Parra E, Cases Amenos A. Gut microbiota in chronic kidney disease. *Nefrologia.* 2017;37:9-19.
25. Hasegawa S, Jao TM, Inagi R. Dietary metabolites and chronic kidney disease. *Nutrients.* 2017;9: <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9040358>.
26. Borges NA, Carmo FL, Stockler-Pinto MB, et al. Probiotic supplementation in chronic kidney disease: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Ren Nutr.* 2017 (final citation to be populated in the same issue as this editorial).
27. Borges NA, Barros AF, Nakao LS, Dolenga CJ, Fouque D, Mafra D. Protein-bound uremic toxins from gut microbiota and inflammatory markers in chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Nutr.* 2016;26:396-400.
28. Qi J, You T, Li J, et al. Circulating trimethylamine N-oxide and the risk of cardiovascular diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 prospective cohort studies. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2017; <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.13307>.
29. Kaysen GA, Johansen KL, Chertow GM, et al. Associations of trimethylamine N-oxide with nutritional and inflammatory biomarkers and cardiovascular outcomes in patients new to dialysis. *J Ren Nutr.* 2015;25:351-356.
30. Kilpatrick RD, McAllister CJ, Kovesdy CP, Derose SF, Kopple JD, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Association between serum lipids and survival in hemodialysis patients and impact of race. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;18:293-303.
31. Ranganathan N, Ranganathan P, Friedman EA, et al. Pilot study of probiotic dietary supplementation for promoting healthy kidney function in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Adv Ther.* 2010;27:634-647.
32. Miranda Alariste PV, Urbina Arronte R, Gomez Espinosa CO, Espinosa Cuevas Mde L. Effect of probiotics on human blood urea levels in patients with chronic renal failure. *Nutr Hosp.* 2014;29:582-590.
33. Natarajan R, Pechenyak B, Vyas U, et al. Randomized controlled trial of strain-specific probiotic formulation (Renadyl) in dialysis patients. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:568571.
34. Vaziri ND, Zhao YY, Pahl MV. Altered intestinal microbial flora and impaired epithelial barrier structure and function in CKD: the nature, mechanisms, consequences and potential treatment. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2016;31:737-746.
35. Vaziri ND. CKD impairs barrier function and alters microbial flora of the intestine: a major link to inflammation and uremic toxicity. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens.* 2012;21:587-592.
36. Mafra D, Lobo JC, Barros AF, Koppe L, Vaziri ND, Fouque D. Role of altered intestinal microbiota in systemic inflammation and cardiovascular disease in chronic kidney disease. *Future Microbiol.* 2014;9:399-410.
37. Moraes C, Borges NA, Mafra D. Resistant starch for modulation of gut microbiota: promising adjuvant therapy for chronic kidney disease patients? *Eur J Nutr.* 2016;55:1813-1821.
38. Lau WL, Vaziri ND. The leaky gut and altered microbiome in chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Nutr.* 2017;27:458-461.
39. Miraghajani M, Zaghian N, Mirlohi M, Feizi A, Ghiasvand R. The impact of probiotic soy milk consumption on oxidative stress among type 2 diabetic kidney disease patients: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Ren Nutr.* 2017;27:317-324.
40. Saarela M, Mogensen G, Fonden R, Matto J, Mattila-Sandholm T. Probiotic bacteria: safety, functional and technological properties. *J Biotechnol.* 2000;84:197-215.
41. Senok AC, Ismael AY, Botta GA. Probiotics: facts and myths. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2005;11:958-966.
42. Henriksson A, Borody T, Clancy R. Probiotics under the regulatory microscope. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2005;4:1135-1143.
43. Salyers AA, Gupta A, Wang Y. Human intestinal bacteria as reservoirs for antibiotic resistance genes. *Trends Microbiol.* 2004;12:412-416.